

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/044,613		01/11/2002	Antonio T. Latto	INTL-0624-US (P11955)	INTL-0624-US (P11955) 9479		
21906	7590	02/27/2006		EXAMINER			
TROP PR	UNER &	HU, PC	NGUYEN, THUAN T				
8554 KAT SUITE 100		AY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
HOUSTON		024	2685				
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/20	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	10/044,6	13	LATTO ET AL.							
Office Action Summary				Art Unit						
			. NGUYEN	2685						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
2a) This action is FIN	•	nis action is n		osecution as to the	e merits is					
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	re rejected.	rawn from co								
Application Papers										
9) The specification i	s objected to by the Exami	ner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachment(s)			_							
1) Notice of References Cited 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Par	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
	ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slipy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,848,152) in view of Barnes et al. (US Patent 4,292,481).

Regarding claims 1-4, 12, 15, 17-19 and 21, Slipy discloses an electronic device and its corresponding method such as a portable telephone with a base having a first surface, and with a removable faceplate 104 for covering substantially all of the first surface of the device (see Fig. 2, and col. 5/line 40 to col. 6/line 15). Slip suggests that the faceplate 104 can be customized in any shape, any contour, size and color (col. 4/lines 12-60).

Slipy does not further disclose to include the faceplate is "transparent" or clear and "replaceable ornamental insert positionable between the removable faceplate and the first surface of the base such that a portion of the ornamental insert is viewable through the substantially transparent section"; however, this technique is taught by Barnes (see Abstract, and Figs. 1 & 4, a transparent cover with exchangeable decorative applique 64 on which designs can be printed

for personalizing decorations, which also placed between the transparent cover and the surface of the base of the electronic device 10, refer to col. 4/lines 3-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Slipy's interchangeable faceplate for covering substantially all of an electronic device with Barnes' technique of using exchangeable inserts for decoration between the base of the electronic device and a transparent plastic cover in order to obtain an easy technique in decorations for an electronic device, with the exchangeable inserts as any decorative (desired) design, underneath a clear/transparent removable faceplate so that the user can view through the transparent faceplate.

In addition to claim 17, Slipy teaches a faceplate coupled to the base and the faceplate covering all of the upper surface of the base (Fig. 2 for the faceplate 104 cover all of the upper surface of the base or housing 102) and the faceplate having a substantially transparent section (as Slipy suggests to customize in any shape, contour, size or color as discussed above; and the teaching of Barnes for transparent/clear removable faceplate as above).

As for claims 5-6 and 16, these claims are rejected for the same reasons given in the view of Slipy and Barnes for applying this technique for an electronic device, wherein the base is a base portion of a computer, as a generalized procedure for applying the decoration inserts underneath a clear removable faceplate to a portable laptop computer, same as discussed in claims 1-4, 12-15, 17-19 and 21 above.

As for claims 7-8 and 14, these claims are rejected for the same reasons given in the view of Slipy and Barnes for applying this technique for an electronic device, wherein the base is a base portion of a digital game player, as a generalized procedure for applying the decoration

Art Unit: 2685

inserts underneath the transparent removable faceplate to a digital game player, same as discussed in claims 1-4, 12-15, 17-19 and 21 above.

As for claims 9-11, 13 and 20, these claims are rejected for the same reasons given in the view of Slipy and Barnes for applying this technique for an electronic device, wherein the electronic device now is a digital audio player, as a generalized procedure for applying the decoration inserts underneath the transparent removable faceplate to a digital audio player, same as discussed in claims 1-4, 12-15, 17-19, and 21 above.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to the New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,613

Art Unit: 2685

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TONYT. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER

Lymplem

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 February 13, 2006